UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,843	08/13/2001	Oded Gottesman	1277-277	9783
7590 05/25/2007 Berliner & Associates		EXAMINER		
Robert Berliner			CHAWAN, VIJAY B	
31st Floor 555 West Fifth St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90013			2626	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	09/831,843	GOTTESMAN, ODED
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vijay B. Chawan	2626
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. 6 133)
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 S</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward	action is non-final.	responition as to the morite is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims	-x parto quayio, 1000 0.D. 11, -	100 O.O. 210.
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-36</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		
5) Claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10, 12-36</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement	
Application Papers		·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		-
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	arminer. Note the attached Office	s Action of form P 10-152.
<u> </u>		-> (1) (0)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Bureau	·	ou in the reasonal olago
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.
•	•	
•		
Attachment(s)		,
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	
(PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/831,843

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

1. The rejection below is in response to the telephone interview with Mr. Ton on 5/16/07. The finality of the action mailed 11/28/06 is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-10, 12-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-10, 12-36 define non-statutory processes because they merely manipulate an abstract idea (mathematical algorithm) without a claimed limitation to a practical application. The disclosed invention has a practical application in the technological arts (e.g. interpolatively coding input audio waveform signals); however, the claimed process, is a series of steps to be performed on a computer using a mathematical algorithm.

The disclosed invention is a method for using a computer to interpolatively code input waveform signals.

In claim 1, using a computer processor to process input audio waveform data, would overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. In the telephone interview with Mr. Richard Ton, on May 16, 2007, it was indicated to Mr. Ton, that claims 1-10, 15-16, 19 would be allowable if the claims are amended to correct the deficiencies below including amending the claims to include "input audio waveform signals". However, claims 12-14,

Application/Control Number: 09/831,843

Art Unit: 2626

1

17-18, 20-29, 32-33, and 34-36 are still unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 101, because they are still steps that are performed on a computer using a mathematical algorithm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1 -10, and 12-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For example, in claim 1, line 3, "slowly evolving waveform and other attributes", it is not clear what the applicant means by "other attributes".

Claim 3, item "i" does not exist, and therefore, does not have antecedent basis.

Claim 5, claims a "method including a system".

Claim 6, lines 3-4, "...to compute similarity or an equivalent measure..." is not clear.

Claim 7, lines 2-3, "... conducted at different rates..." is vague and indefinite.

Claim 9, lines 3-4, "...to maximize similarity or other meaningful objective..." is not clear.

Claim 12, lines 3-4, "...accumulated distortion between adjacent input waveforms and adjacent quantized and interpolated output waveforms.", is not clear.

Claim 19, line 2, "input waveform signals input signals" is not clear.

Art Unit: 2626

1

Rest of the claims are full of instances such as these, and should be addressed and corrected. Also claims 15 and 16 are rambling in nature, and it is not clear what the applicant regards as his invention. Some of the errors have been identified above, and other claims should be checked for further errors, and should be corrected.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 12-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vijay B. Chawan whose telephone number is (571) 272-7601. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Friday 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Viiav B. Chawan **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2626

Page 5

vbc 5/23/07